



Shri Dimpy Wadhwa, S/o Shri Gian Chand,
H.No. 92-B, Ward No.1, Ahmedgarh,
Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal, Maya Devi Goyal Public School,
Ahmedgarh Road, Near Pohir,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ DEO (SE),
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1529 of 2021

Present:- Shri Dimpy Wadhwa, appellant is absent .
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Principal, Maya Devi Goyal Public
School, Ahmedgarh Road, Near Pohir, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Dimpy Wadhwa, appellant filed RTI application before the SPIO, O/o District Education Officer, Ludhiana. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o Principal, Maya Devi Goyal Public School, Ahmedgarh Road, Near Pohir, Ludhiana vide letter no. 299-301 dated 23.10.2020. When no information was provided to the appellant, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 24.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO-cum-Suptd., O/o Distt. Education Officer (SE), Ludhiana, which is a copy of letter dated 11.06.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 07.06.2021 of Shri Dimpy Wadhwa.

I have gone through letter dated 11.06.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO-cum-Suptd., O/o Distt. Education Officer (SE), Ludhiana that in Appeal Case No. 1529 of 2021, a letter has been received through whatsapp sent by the School Principal that the information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant wants to withdraw his application. I have also gone through letter dated 07.06.2021 of Shri Dimpy Wadhwa, appellant and he has submitted that the requisite information has been provided to him by the respondent Maya Devi Goyal Public School, Ludhiana and he is satisfied with the information and wants to withdraw his RTI application.

As the sought for information has been provided to the appellant by the SPIO and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 08.07.2021



Shri Rohit Sabharwal,
126, Model Gram,
Ludhiana-141002.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,
Mini Secretariat, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,
Mini Secretariat, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 268 of 2021

Present:- Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant is absent.
Shri Sarabjit Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.09.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o DDPO, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the BDPO, Sudhar on 31.05.2021, diarized vide no. 11671, which is a copy of letter no.966-68 dated 25.05.2021 along with copies of information vide which the information was sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received another e-mail sent by the BDPO, Ludhiana-1, which is a copy of letter no.2372 dated 08.07.2021 and it is submitted by him that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.2041 dated 11.06.2021.

Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur, Advocate, the authorized representative of the appellant has also sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that the information in the present case stands to be provided by the respondents, which is satisfactory.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the requisite information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied as per the e-mail sent by the authorized representative of the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 08.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

(Regd.) CC: 1. The BDPO, Ludhiana-1.

—for information.

(Regd.) CC: 2. BDPO, Sudhar, District Ludhiana.

– for information.

Shri Rohit Sabharwal,
126, Model Gram,
Ludhiana-141002.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,
Mini Secretariat, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,
Mini Secretariat, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 269 of 2021

Present:- Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant is absent.
Shri Sarabjit Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Shri Rohit Sabharwal, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.05.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o DDPO, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the BDPO, Sudhar on 31.05.2021, diarized vide no. 11677, which is a copy of letter no.970-72 dated 25.05.2021 along with copies of information vide which the information was sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received another e-mail sent by the BDPO, Ludhiana-1, which is a copy of letter no.2282 dated 02.07.2021 vide which the sought for information has been sent to the appellant.

Ms. Sukhjinder Kaur, Advocate, the authorized representative of the appellant has also sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that the information in the present case stands to be provided by the respondents, which is satisfactory.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the requisite information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied as per the e-mail sent by the authorized representative of the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 08.07.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

(Regd.) CC: 1. The BDPO, Ludhiana-1.

– for information.

(Regd.) CC: 2. BDPO, Sudhar, District Ludhiana.

– for information.



Shri Sukhdip Singh, S/o Shri Pritam Singh, SI,
Gali Arrewali, Tarn Taran.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Tarn Taran.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Deputy Commissioner,
Tarn Taran

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1538 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sukhdip Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Arvinder Pal Singh, SPIO-cum-DRO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Tarn Taran
is present.

ORDER

Shri Sukhdip Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 14.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Tarn Taran. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.499 dated 24.08.2020. But not satisfied, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 05.12.2020. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 24.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the SPIO is present and he stated that the appellant has filed RTI application on 14.07.2020 and the information was sent to him vide letter no.499 dated 24.08.2020, but not satisfied with the information, the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Commission. He further stated that now again the information, which was available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Tarn Taran, has been sent to the appellant vide letter no.1188 dated 06.07.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

Shri Sukhdip Singh, appellant has not appeared today, however he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted in the e-mail that the information is incomplete, but he has not mentioned any instance or mode that how the information is incomplete. Simply to write that the information is incomplete is not enough. The appellant has to submit that which documentary information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand the SPIO stated that the information relevant and connected with the RTI application has been sent to the appellant.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 14.07.2020, the mails and also perused the case file. The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 08.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Manmohan Singh, S/o Shri Arjan Singh,
Village Hashanpur Prohta,
Tehsil & Distt. Patiala.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Sanaur,
Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1548 of 2021

Present:- Shri Manmohan Singh, appellant is absent.
Ms. Supreet Sharma, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Sanaur, Distt. Patiala.

ORDER

Shri Manmohan Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 03.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Sanaur, Distt. Patiala. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.01.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 25.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and she stated that the information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 17.05.2021 and on 30.06.2021 and copies of the same has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.1898 dated 08.07.2021 along with annexure.

I have gone through letter no.1898 dated 08.07.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the sought for information comprising of 11 pages was sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.1278 dated 17.05.2021 and then 136 pages of information was sent to the appellant by the concerned Panchayat Secretary through registered post.

The SPIO has sent the information to the appellant through registered post on 17.05.2021 and on 30.06.2021. The appellant is absent today and neither he has sent any mail to the Commission regarding the information, it means he is satisfied with the information sent to him by the SPIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 08.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Bikramjit Singh Chhachhi,
120-B/1, Nagar House,
T.B. Hospital Road,
Patiala.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal,
Govt. Co-Education Multipurpose
Sr. Sec. School,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ D.E.O. (SE),
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1555 of 2021

Present:- Shri Bikramjit Singh Chhachhi, appellant is absent.
Shri Tota Singh Chahal, Principal, Govt. Co-Education Multipurpose Sr. Sec.
Smart School, Patiala is present.

ORDER

Shri Bikramjit Singh Chhachhi, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Co-Education Multipurpose Sr. Sec. School, Patiala. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.03.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas Shri Tota Singh Chahal, Principal, Govt. Co-Education Multipurpose Sr. Sec. Smart School, Patiala is present.

The appellant had sought information vide his RTI application dated 24.09.2020 as under:-

1. Inspection of Information & Cash Registers maintained by your office under Punjab RTI Rules 2007 along with receipt file regarding cash deposited with Govt. Treasury.
2. Copy of orders designating your officers as First Appellate Authority U/s 19(1) and PIOs and APIO U/s 5(1) and 5(2) all sections of RTI 2005 and latest copy of orders issued by Hon'ble Secretary Education Punjab with file and noting file concerned regarding compliance of such orders along with compliance report submitted.

3. Reports submitted by your office U/s 25(2) & 25(3) of RTI Act, 2005 along with file & noting file concerned and file concerned with Section 2(i)(d) and files concerned with 26(1)(a) to (c).
4. Particulars of FAA PIO & APO as per Section 4(1)(b)(ix), 4(1)(b)(XVI) & 4(4) along with noting files.
5. Record Destruction Policy file and action taken time to time from 2010 to this date.

The Respondent Principal who was present stated that the following reply/information was provided to the applicant vide letter no. 1372 dated 23.10.2020 as under:-

- 1) That the fee received for providing the information under RTI Act was deposited in SBI under Major Head of RTI and this information cannot be provided to the third party under RTI Act.
- 2) That the letter received from Hon'ble Secretary in 2018 for appointment of PIO and APIO is hereby enclosed.
- 3) That the procedure of noting is not following in the schools as it is observed in public dealing offices.
- 4) That under RTI Act 2005 U/s 7.9 lengthy information cannot be provided.

The respondent stated that again the applicant filed another application on 24.11.2020 and the reply/information was given to him vide letter no.1419 dated 24.12.2020.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the Principal, Govt. Co-Education Multipurpose Sr. Sec. Smart School, Patiala on 21.06.2021, diarized vide no.13331, which is a copy of letter no.1794/21 dated 16.06.2021 along with annexure.

The appellant has also sent a mail to the Commission, which was received on 30.06.2021, diarized vide no.14212. I have gone through the said mail, which is a counter reply regarding the reply of respondents.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through reply/information sent by the respondent. As the respondent has provided the sought for information to the appellant vide his letter no. 1372 dated 23.10.2020, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 08.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Smt. Sangita Rani,
H.No. 601, Sector 14 (West),
Chandigarh.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o DGP, Punjab,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 762 of 2020

Present:- Shri Gian Chand is present on behalf of Smt. Sangita Rani, complainant-applicant.
None present on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Smt. Sangita Rani, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 31.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DGP, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Shri Gian Chand is present on behalf of Smt. Sangita Rani, complainant-applicant, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the respondent SPIO.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the APIO-cum-Suptd., O/o AIGP, B.O.I., Punjab, on 17.06.2021, diarized vide no.13100, which is a copy of letter no. 1646-47 dated 10.06.2021.

I have gone through the said letter vide which the RTI application of the applicant was transferred to the office of the SSP, Khanna U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 with a direction to provide the information to the applicant and to appear before the Commission for hearing.

Shri Gian Chand, representative of the complainant/applicant stated that Smt. Sangita Rani, complainant/applicant wants to withdraw the present complaint case.

As per the request of the complainant/applicant through her representative to withdraw the case, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 08.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: AIG/Commissions, BOI, Punjab, Chandigarh. – for information.



Shri Jatinder Kumar,
C/o Shri Sachkhand Mishthan Bhandar,
Nehru Gate,
Nawanshehar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt. Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt. Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2654 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jatinder Kumar, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Govt.,
Jalandhar.

ORDER

Shri Jatinder Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Govt., Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 19.05.2021 and the SPIO was directed that the attested copies of information as was sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 15.06.2020 be sent to him through registered post within 10 days and compliance be sent to the Commission.

The respondent SPIO is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 17.06.2021, diarized vide no. 13109, which is a copy of letter no. 18342 dated 10.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the information has been sent to the appellant.

The SPIO has complied with the Order dated 19.05.2021 passed by the Commission and sent the information to the appellant vide letter dated 10.06.2021 and a period of about one month has been passed. The appellant is absent today and neither he has sent any mail to the Commission regarding the information, it means he is satisfied with the information sent to him by the SPIO. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 08.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Jatinder Kumar,
C/o Shri Sachkhand Mishthan Bhandar,
Nehru Gate,
Nawanshehar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt. Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Regional Deputy Director,
Local Govt. Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2655 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jatinder Kumar, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Govt.,
Jalandhar.

ORDER

Shri Jatinder Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Govt., Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 19.05.2021 and the SPIO was directed that the attested copies of information as was sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 13.06.2020 be sent to him through registered post within 10 days and compliance be sent to the Commission.

The respondent SPIO is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 17.06.2021, diarized vide no. 13109, which is a copy of letter no. 18342 dated 10.06.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the information has been sent to the appellant.

The SPIO has complied with the Order dated 19.05.2021 passed by the Commission and sent the information to the appellant vide letter dated 10.06.2021 and a period of about one month has been passed. The appellant is absent today and neither he has sent any mail to the Commission regarding the information, it means he is satisfied with the information sent to him by the SPIO. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 08.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Lal Chand Virhe, S/o Shri Chaman Lal Virhe,
R/o Village Garhi Sheru,
Rahon Road, P.O. Mattewara,
Via Basti Jodhewal,
Tehsil & Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3365 of 2020

Present:- Shri Lal Chand Virhe, appellant is absent.
ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Branch is present on behalf of the SPIO O/o
Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Lal Chand Virhe, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the appellant has not provided the correct UID Number to the SPIO as was directed vide Order dated 30.06.2021 passed by the Commission.

I have gone through the Order dated 30.06.2021 and both the parties were present on that date. The respondent stated that the appellant sought information regarding UID No. 548474 dated 17.07.2014, but according to the computer record the said UID No. is registered with CPRC, Mansa and vide letter no. 1376 dated 22.07.2020 the appellant was asked to provide the correct application number, but the applicant has not provided the same. So, the appellant was directed to submit affidavit that he has written the correct UID number in the RTI application or provide the correct UID Number to the SPIO within 3 days.

But the appellant is absent today and neither he has provided the correct UID Number to the notice of the SPIO, it means he is not interested in getting the information. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 08.07.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**